UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,214	03/10/2004	Toshihisa Nakano	2004_0385A	2392
	7590 04/08/201 , LIND & PONACK I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Stree Suite 400 East	*	SCHMIDT, KARI L		
Washington, DO	C 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/796,214	NAKANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KARI L. SCHMIDT	2439	

	KARI L. SCHMIDT	2439						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess					
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	HE REPLY FILED <u>22 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	i. ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	3 77	mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplication (i	. 02 02 1).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendment	canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 61-67.		l be entered and an exp	olanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	d.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	e because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner notes the newly added claims 61-67 would require further consideration and/or search; more specifically: with respect to Claim 61: "a comparing unit operable to compare the first media key data stored in the recording medium with the second media key data stored in the storing unit, so as to judge which of the first media key data and the second media key data is newer" and "a content decrypting unit operable to, when the comparing unit judges that the second media key data is newer than the first media key data, obtain the one first media key from the first media key data using a corresponding device key of the plurality of first device keys, and decrypt the first encrypted content stored in the recording medium using the obtained one first media key, so as to generate a content" and "a content encrypting unit operable to obtain the one second media key from the second media key data using a corresponding device key of the plurality of second device keys, and encrypt the content generated by the content decrypting unit using the obtained one second media key, so as to generate a second encrypted content" and "a deleting and writing unit operable to delete the first media key data and the first encrypted content from the recording medium, and write the second media key data and the second encrypted content generated by the content encrypting unit to the recording medium." The examiner notes similar rational applies to claim 66 and claim 67. The examiner notes the cited portions, as emphasised, where not found in previous independent Claim 43, and further as per applicant's admission that support for new Claim 61 is taken from "Chapter 1.7 'OTHER MODIFICATION EXAMPLES.' on page 57 of the originally filed specification, thus causing a change scope and requiring further consideration and/or search.